

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/077,568	WILT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter-Anthony Pappas	2628	

All Participants:

(1) Peter-Anthony Pappas.

(2) D. Kent Stier.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11,15,16,18

Prior art documents discussed:

Engstrom et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5, 801, 717)


Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An Examiner's Amendment to place the instant application into conditions for allowance was proposed, but could not be agreed upon.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)